

International Conference - Brussels, 20-21 February 2006

Workpackage 4

SHARING KNOWLEDGE IN SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

Session I

KASSA Main Results The Mediterranean Platform

José L. Arrúe

Estación Experimental de Aula Dei, CSIC, Zaragoza, Spain



The Mediterranean Platform Partnership

<i>Country</i>	<i>Partner no.</i>	<i>Organization</i>	<i>Participant name</i>
SPAIN	16	EEAD-CSIC	José Luis Arrúe M. Victoria López
	CSIC	IRNAS-CSIC	Félix Moreno José Manuel Murillo José E. Fernández
	17	UdL	Carlos Cantero-Martínez
	18	ITA	Aurora Sombrero Avelino de Benito
	19	INIA	José Luis Tenorio Encarna Zambrana
MOROCCO	20	ITGA	José J. Pérez de Ciriza
ITALY	21	INRA	Rachid Mrabet
	22	CIC	Alessandro Cardarelli Antonio Onorati
GREECE	23	NAGREF	Victor Kavvadias Christos Paschalidis Georgios Zervakis

The Mediterranean Agroecosystems

I. Climate and soil features

- Annual rainfall between 250 and 750 mm
 - Rainfall variable and erratic
 - High-intensity storms
 - Drought endemic and unpredictable



- Soils have medium to poor fertility
 - Calcareous horizons are frequent
 - Low water-holding capacity
 - Restricted root development and growth
 - Stony, alkaline or saline
 - Low organic matter content
 - More than 2000 years of cultivation



II. Mediterranean cropping systems

Rainfed farming systems

- Winter cereals (wheat and barley)
 - Traditional long-fallowing (16-18 mo)



II. Mediterranean cropping systems

Rainfed farming systems

- Tree crops: olives, almonds, nuts and vineyards



II. Mediterranean cropping systems

Irrigated farming systems

- Fruit trees: apple, peaches, pears, citrus, olives



II. Mediterranean cropping systems

Other crops

- Grain legumes (faba beans, lentils, chickpeas)
- Forage legumes (alfalfa, vetches)
- Canola, sunflower, potatoes, sugarbeet, cotton
- Livestock grazing on dryland permanent pastures



III. Ecological and environmental issues

Dryland areas

- Water scarcity
- Land degradation by soil erosion
- Overgrazing
- Stubble burning
- Lack of crop residue incorporation
- In southern countries, desertification is becoming a normal phenomenon

Irrigated areas

- Excessive and inefficient water and agrochemical use
- Environmental pollution still local
- Salinization

IV. Social and economic issues

- Marked differences between southern and Northern Mediterranean countries.
- Agriculture in the north is more advanced.
- The population in northern countries still engaged in agriculture is relatively low.
- New technologies have led to substantial increase in agricultural labour productivity.
- Social and economic issues (the need to reduce production costs and improve competitiveness) are similar to those in temperate climates.
- The European Mediterranean countries comply with evolving CAP regulations and policies.

Conservation Agriculture (CA) in the Mediterranean Agroecosystems

- CA is not widespread around the Mediterranean
- CA is mainly used for winter cereals in monocropping or long fallows. Occasionally in rotations with legumes, sunflower and canola.



- Adoption in European Mediterranean countries greater than in North African countries.
- Reduction of intensive tillage in the region begun in the 1960`s (later in North African Countries) driven by the need of reducing inputs (fuel, machinery and labour).
- No-tillage and cover crops are used between rows of perennial crops (olives, nuts, grapes).



Scientific knowledge acquired regarding CA in Mediterranean agroecosystems

I. Researches undertaken

- CA research started by the design and setting of field experiments within research farms of public organizations (Universities, Agricultural Research Institutes).
- In some areas and countries, private companies, co-operatives and farmer associations played an important role in supporting CA research.
- Most studies have been short- or long-term specific-site tillage experiments (e.g., in Spain).
- Only a few experiments have been conducted as on-farm trials as a farmer's initiative.



II. Synthesis of main results.

(Conservation agriculture vs.
traditional agriculture)

AGRONOMIC IMPACTS

Influence of CA on crop performance

- **Crop yield**: increases in CA
 - Positive response under dry conditions
 - Similar response under favourable conditions
- **Crop emergence and establishment**: positive or negative: $f(\text{site, crop})$; no effects on crop yield
- **Water use efficiency**:
 - Increases in rainfed farming areas
 - Similar under favourable conditions
- **Nutrient use efficiency**: Evidence for the improvement of this efficiency under CA practices

Influence of CA on crop management

- **Crop residue management:** Positive, the basis for CA
 - Better soil protection
 - Difficulties at sowing and early crop development in high or low residue production areas
- **Weed management:**
 - Change and inversion of flora with positive or negative impact
 - Weed control should be more precise, with careful use of herbicides
- **Pest and disease management:**
 - Negative incidences of specific pests and diseases in favourable wet areas



- **Crop rotations:**

- Permit higher crop diversification
- Positive for weed, pest and disease control
- Positive for crop nutrient management

- **Fallow management:**

- Increase or similar soil water storage at sowing
- Crop residue maintenance and chemical fallow needed for optimum fallow performance in CA

- **Intercropping and other crop associations:**

- Cropping system diversification
- Double crop possibilities in some areas



ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Soil physical properties and processes

- Pore size distribution under conservation tillage more homogeneous
- Higher macroporosity under CA
- More biopores
- Higher aggregate size and stability under CA
- Increase in soil resistance to penetration
- Different long-term response in soil hydraulic conductivity
- CA improves soil water retention and water holding capacity
- CA reduces soil water evaporation

Soil chemical properties

- CA increases soil organic matter (SOM) and N content in top layers
- Lower nitrate levels in the soil profile
- Higher soil P and K stratification (higher values in top layers and lower in deeper horizons)

Soil biological properties

- CA improves enzymatic activity
- CA increases earthworm population

Herbicide and pesticide losses

- Lower mobility and persistence of herbicides in soils under conservation tillage

Greenhouse gases (GHG)

- CA increases soil organic carbon (SOC) content in the topsoil
- C stratification ratio increases
- Lower CO₂ emissions

Soil erosion

- Reduced soil losses due to wind and water erosion



The left side of the slide features a vertical banner with the word 'KASSA' in large, white, 3D-style letters. To its right, in smaller blue text, is 'GOCE-CT-2004-505582'. The background of the slide is an aerial photograph of a rural landscape with green fields, a winding road, and a small building. In the bottom left corner, there is a blue and white logo consisting of a stylized number '6' inside a circle.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS

- Input costs: higher or lower depending on situations and cost of herbicide and energy
- Labour costs: lower
- Energy (fuel) costs: lower
- Investment: higher initial investment (machinery)
- Time in cultural operations: lower
- Profitability:
 - In most cases higher or depending on the CA system and local conditions
 - Much better economy at a farm level

CONCLUDING REMARKS

- In Mediterranean agroecosystems, the transition to Conservation Agriculture is in progress.
- Conservation Agriculture not yet adopted by farmers. However, in some specific areas is possible to find farmers with more than 25 years of continuous CA practices.
- Scientific knowledge on crop and soil responses to Conservation Agriculture practices and their consequences for soil and water resources is still limited.
- **Sustainability of Conservation Agriculture in the Mediterranean region: a pending challenge**



[Back to Menu](#)

**THANK YOU FOR YOUR
ATTENTION**